

SpotOS

Ilya Kolchinsky, Idan Levi, Orit Wasserman, Gabi BenHanokh, Josh Salomon, Avishay Traeger – Red Hat

Assaf Schuster – Technion, Israel Institute of Technology

Disclaimer – This work started from a patent by Orit Wasserman and Josh Salomon

Does the cloud meet its promises?

- Auto-scaling? V Other...
- No vendor locking?
- Economy of scale?



X





Issues for cloud users – examples

- **1. Optimization**. To optimize **\$\$** fitting of instance to app need:
 - Intimate knowledge on app requirements:
 - CPU, accelerators, memory, disc type, disc size, iops, ...
 - Information of instance availability and prices
 - Dynamic price optimization is impossible
- 2. Spot instances. No guarantee when an interrupted spot will restart.
 - Hibernate state < 100G (AWS); only selected/expensive instances; other restriction
 - Stop only stateless apps can restart; other restrictions
 - Terminate 😕



SpotOS Vision

A heaven in the clouds:

- Better prices than spot instances
- Better availability than on demand
- Better app performance
- Better interface for users

How?

The focus of this talk - efficient use of spot instances:

Instance = spot instance

Interrupt = request for instance evacuation (2 min warning time AWS, 30 sec Azure)



SpotOS – a distributed abstraction layer providing easy+efficient+affordable cloud usage



SpotOS – a distributed abstraction layer providing easy+efficient+affordable multi-cloud usage



SpotOS Advantages

Cost:

- Optimize configuration of resources for every app
- Optimize configuration of resources globally for all apps
- Dynamically
- Optimize over a larger configuration space than currently available

High availability:

- Keep the apps working upon interrupts, with minimal delay
- Seemless failure-HA

Interaction complexity:

- Simplify the user interface with the cloud
 - User does not need to be a systems/cloud expert to optimize

Performance:

- Optimize app match to resources
- Optimize locality across pools and regions for data/app gravity

Cross platform:

• Optimize cost across cloud providers, regions, pools

Configuration space - example



Can pack together applications having complementary resource requirements

Configuration space - example



High-level challenges



- 1. A moving target
 - Solution space: a generic design to accommodate the shifts
- 2. Tradeoffs, optimizations, dynamicity, ...
 - Solution space: traditional algorithmic computing science
- 4. Performance optimizations
 - Solution space: traditional distributed systems
- 3. Adapting to cloud and app behavior
 - Solution space: ML+AI

Competition

- 1. SPOT
 - NetApp
 - Previously spot.ai
- <u>https://spot.io/blog/azure-spot-vms/?utm_source=na&utm_medium=Guide&utm_campaig</u> n=Azure_cost_optimization_guide#a3
- Bottom line claim to do parts of what we offer here (but probably not everything)
 - Cost optimizations
 - Cloud availability predictions (how?)
- 2. SKY
 - Compatibility only







Local Search Space











Intermediate Products









Status: Preliminary working prototype Use: Large state app can exe on standard instance **External Distributed** Memory (EDM)

Cloud

Resource management



Handling Interrupts

Spare Resources

- GOLEM maintains a set of spare resources
 - · And/or instances with empty excess capacity
- No more than a certain upper bound
 - Bounds are fraction of the minimal set of resources
 - Too much is high cost overhead
- No less than a certain lower bound
 - Too low is high risk when interruptions occur
 - The exess empty capacity determines the number of evacuations that can happen concurrently
- May need to balance them across pools
 - For locality
- Upon a demand change, GOLEM decides whether this change can be handled withing the given bounds (without breaching them) or it needs to call for *incremental or global rearrangement optimization*
 - Considerations:
 - 1. Fast evictions following interrupts and fast rearrangements
 - 2. Cost-optimality of obtained configuration
- · Optimizing the bounds is a challenge
 - Require research
- Notice: no "cold" spare resource capacity
- Instances of the same type at the same pool/region will probably be warned/interrupted together
 - Better make sure there is enough spare capacity for all of them to evacuate at the same time





2. Warning or interrupt for X

Evacuation plan - example

Evac-plan:

- 1. Stop apps in snapshot-able points
- 2. Call AM to move App1 to instance Y
- 3. Call AM to move App2 to instance Z
- 4. Call EDM to move mem to instance M
- Use parallelization when applicable
- Meet a strict time constraint







GOLEM

2. Warning or interrupt for X

Evacuation plan - example

Evac-plan:

- 1. Stop apps in snapshot-able points
- 2. Call AM to move App1 to instance Y
- 3. Call AM to move App2 to instance Z
- 4. Call EDM to move mem to instance M

Evac-plan

- Use parallelization when applicable
- Meet a strict time constraint

Evacuation

planner



3. Evacuate X

1. Need an evac-plan For Instance X



For Instance X

Move X.2 to Z



Optimizing Global Cost

Plan lifecycle



Plan lifecycle



Plan lifecycle











Summary

SpotOS is a necessary stage in cloud evolution, transforming it into a friendly place for users:

- Learning application and cloud behavior
- Automatically optimizing [cost X performance X availability]
- Dynamically
- Over regions, pools and cloud providers
- No systems/cloud knowledge is assumed by user



Questions?

Comparison

Advantages:

- Our spot calculator gives the user a better way to compare instance prices between regions and instance types.
- Our calculator lets the user filter types by spot instance specific properties.

Disadvantages:

 The AWS calculator gives a more accurate estimate regarding different AWS services such as S3 snapshots and data transfers.